Query Execution



Compiler

- SQL is declarative, for runtime system it has to be translated into something procedural
- DBMS first translates SQL into an internal representation
- Common approach is translation into the relational algebra

Canonical Translation

- Standard translation of SQL into relational algebra
- Algebra expression are often represented graphically π
- Example
 select A1, . . . , An
 from R1, . . . , Rm
 where p



Optimizer

- Canonical plan not efficient, e.g. cartesian product
- DBMS has optimizer to transform the plan into an efficient form
- Finding the / one optimal plan very difficult: still research topic

Optimizer (2)

- User ↔ Query optimization?
- Users can
 - o see the generated plan
 - o analyse the generated plan
 - (where appropriate) reformulate queries or give DBMS hints for query execution

Visualization of plans

e.g. PostgreSQL:



Visualization of plans

e.g. Hyper:



Query Optimization Basics

- Query optimization is cost based
- Execution time estimation with the help of cost models and statistics
- Application of heuristics far too expensive to look at all possible plans
- Two layers of optimization:
 Logical layer
 Physical layer

Logical Layer

- Starting point: expression of the relational algebra (result of the canonical translation)
- **Optimization**: transformation into equivalent expressions (with faster execution time)
- Goal of the transformations: Output (result) of the single (algebra) operations preferably small

Logical Layer (2)

Basic techniques - rules:

- Break selections
- Shift selections ,down' in the plan
- Combine selections and cartesian products to joins
- Determine the join order
- Insert projections
- Shift projektions ,down' in the plan

Example Query

select S.Name, P.Name from Students S, attend a, Lectures L, Professors P where S.StudNr – a.StudNr and a LectNr = L LectNrand L.Given_by = P.PersNr and S.Semester > 4 and P.Name = 'Sokrates';





Database System Concepts for Non-Computer Scientists WS 2017/2018

Optimized Query Plan



Physical Optimization

- Distinction between logical and physical algebra operators
- Physical algebra operators are the implementation of the logical ones
- Several physical operators for one logical operator available
- Optimization on the physical layer means:
 - Pick one of the physical operators
 - o Decide whether to use indexes
 - o Decide whether to materialize intermediate results
 - 0 ...

Implementation of Operators

- Selection:
 - o Scan
 - o Indexscan
- Join:
 - o Nested-Loop-Join
 - o (Sort-)Merge-Join
 - o Index-Join
 - o Hash-Join

Cost model



Cost estimation

• Selectivity

fraction of qualifying tuples of an operation **high** selectivity means small fraction

- Estimate of selectivity through
 - o Formula
 - o Sampling
- Selectivity cost
- Join cost
- Join order

\rightarrow therefore you need statistics

When to gather statistics?

When to update statistics? Excerpt of IBM DB2 Manual:

- after data has been loaded into a table and appropriate indexes have been built
- after a new index for a table has been built
- after a table has been reorganized with REORG
- after a table and the corresponding indexes have been changed considerably via UPDATE-, INSERT- or DELETE-operations
- after executing the command REDISTRIBUTE
 DATABASE PARTITION GROUP

Which kind of statistics are there?

Excerpt of the IBM DB2 Manual:

SYSCAT: read-only view – System catalog SYSSTAT: updatable view – Statistic data

- Table statistics (SYSCAT/SYSSTAT.**TABLES**)
- Column statistics (SYSCAT/SYSSTAT.COLUMNS)
- Statistics for groups of columns (SYSCAT/SYSSTAT.COLGROUPS)
- distribution statistics for columns (SYSCAT/SYSSTAT.COLDIST)
- distribution statistics for groups of columns (SYSCAT/SYSSTAT.COLGROUPDIST / COLGROUPDISTCOUNTS)
- Index statistics (SYSCAT/SYSSTAT.**INDEXES**)

Summary

- Query execution and optimization are important tasks of a database system
- Also / even users should know about it as design decisions and query formulation influence the performance of a DBMS

New Developments

- Main Memory Database Systems, (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_in-memory_databases), e.g.
 - Times Ten (Oracle)
 - VoltDB (some database scientists, open source)
 - Monet DB (CWI, Amsterdam, open source)
 - SAP HANA
 - HYPER (Informatics, TUM)
- Column Store Database Systems,
- (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_column-oriented_DBMSes), e.g.
 - C-Store / Vertica (HP)
 - Monet DB (CWI, Amsterdam, open source)
 - SAP HANA

HYPER (Informatics, TUM)

Column Stores

Re-use permitted when acknowledging the original © Stavros Harizopoulos, Daniel Abadi, Peter Boncz (2009)





Row Store versus Column Store

Sales					
Product	Customer	Price	Branch		
Mobile	Kemper	345	Schwabing		
Radio	Mickey	123	Bogenhausen		
Mobile	Minnie	233	Schwabing		
Fridge	Urmel	240	Augsburg		
Beamer	Bond	740	London		
Mobile	Lucie	321	Bogenhausen		

Row Store versus Column Store

Pro	duct	Cus	stomer	Pric	e	Bran	ch
ID	Product	ID	Customer	ID	Price	ID	Branch
0	Mobile	0	Kemper	0	345	0	Schwabing
1	Radio	1	Mickey	1	123	1	Bogenhausen
2	Mobile	2	Minnie	2	233	2	Schwabing
3	Fridge	3	Urmel	3	240	3	Augsburg
4	Beamer	4	Bond	4	740	4	London
5	Mobile	5	Lucie	5	321	5	Bogenhausen

Compression

In particular possibilities of compression are interesting:

Dictionary		
ID	Word	
0	Augsburg	
1	Beamer	
2	Bogenhausen	
3	Mobile	
4	Fridge	
5	London	
6	Radio	
7	Schwabing	

Product		
ID	Product	
0	3	
1	6	
2	4	
3	4	
4	1	
5	3	

	Branch		
ID	Branch		
0	7		
1	2		
2	7		
3	0		
4	5		
5	2		

NoSQL

NoSQL No SQL - Not only SQL

Characteristics: Schema-free, web scale (but sacrificing ACID), distributed (scale-out), usually key-value store (hash tables: key and pointer to the value), specific data, e.g. graphs

CAP Theorem:

- Consistency
- Availability
- Partition Tolerance

Only two of the three goals can be achieved

NoSQL cont.

Short explanation: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pHAItWE7QMU&list= PLB9uLawXQoggpG9MGz5v9wDodr9f_p4lg

,Debate' RDBMS – NoSQL (Mongo DB): http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=b2F-DItXtZs